International Journal of Emerging Trends in Physics (IJETP)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXX

Vol. 1, Issue 1, April 2025 | pp. 47–60

Research Paper

Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy for Elemental Analysis: Techniques and Challenges

Elena Petrova[1], Ivan Sokolov[2]

[1] Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

[2] Department of Laser Physics, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (MIPT), Dolgoprudny, Russia

Received: April 01, 2025 / Accepted: April 15, 2025 / Published: April 24, 2025

Abstract

Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS), also known as Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS), has emerged as a powerful analytical technique for rapid, in-situ elemental analysis across various scientific and industrial fields. The technique involves focusing a high-energy laser pulse onto a sample surface to generate a plasma, which emits characteristic light signals corresponding to the elemental composition of the sample. This paper presents a comprehensive review of the fundamental principles, state-of-the-art techniques, and recent advancements in LIPS for elemental analysis. It further discusses the methodological aspects including plasma generation, spectral acquisition, and data processing approaches. Challenges such as matrix effects, spectral interferences, calibration difficulties, and sensitivity limitations are critically analyzed. Experimental results highlighting the capabilities and limitations of LIPS in different material matrices are also presented. The paper concludes by outlining potential future research directions aimed at enhancing analytical accuracy, detection limits, and expanding the application scope of LIPS in environmental monitoring, material science, and industrial quality control. Through this synthesis, the paper aims to provide researchers and practitioners with a detailed understanding of the current status and emerging trends in laser-induced plasma spectroscopy.

Keywords: Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS), Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS), Elemental analysis, Plasma emission, Spectroscopy techniques, Spectral interference, Calibration, Analytical challenges, Material characterization, In-situ analysis.

Introduction

Accurate elemental analysis is essential in numerous scientific disciplines and industrial processes, ranging from environmental monitoring and metallurgy to pharmaceutical quality control and forensic investigations (Cremers & Radziemski, 2006). Traditional analytical techniques such as Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) offer high sensitivity but often require complex sample preparation, are time-consuming, and lack portability (Hahn & Omenetto, 2010). Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS), alternatively known as Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS), has gained significant attention as a versatile, rapid, and minimally invasive elemental analysis technique that can be applied to solids, liquids, and gases without extensive sample treatment (Noll, 2012).

LIPS involves the generation of a micro-plasma on the surface of a sample by focusing a pulsed laser beam, which causes ablation and excitation of the constituent atoms and ions. The plasma emits characteristic spectral lines that are collected and analyzed to determine the elemental composition (Pasquini et al., 2007). The ability of LIPS to perform real-time, in-situ analysis with minimal or no sample preparation makes it highly attractive for field applications, including mining exploration, environmental pollutant detection, and cultural heritage conservation (Miziolek et al., 2006).

Despite its advantages, LIPS faces challenges related to matrix effects, self-absorption, calibration complexity, and sensitivity constraints, which can impact quantitative accuracy and detection limits (Gaudiuso et al., 2010). Furthermore, advancements in laser sources, detector technologies, and data processing algorithms continue to evolve the capabilities and applications of LIPS. This paper aims to provide a detailed exploration of the techniques and challenges associated with LIPS for elemental analysis. It covers theoretical background, instrumentation, methodological aspects, recent experimental results, and critical discussion on limitations and future research directions.

Literature Review

Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS) has been extensively studied and developed since its inception in the 1960s when laser technology began to mature for practical analytical applications (Knight et al., 1985). Early research focused on understanding the plasma formation process, emission characteristics, and basic spectral analysis (Radziemski et al., 1983). Over the decades, advancements in laser technology, spectrometer sensitivity, and computational data processing have significantly expanded the technique’s analytical capabilities.

Several reviews have summarized the evolution and diverse applications of LIPS. Pasquini et al. (2007) presented an extensive overview of LIBS, highlighting its versatility in analyzing metals, polymers, biological tissues, and environmental samples. They emphasized the technique's rapid analysis and minimal sample preparation as key advantages. Similarly, Cremers and Radziemski (2006) provided foundational insights into plasma physics and spectral emission mechanisms, discussing the importance of plasma temperature and electron density in spectral line formation and intensity.

The quantitative accuracy of LIPS has been a critical focus of research. Matrix effects, where the physical and chemical environment of the analyte affects the plasma characteristics and emission intensities, pose significant challenges (Santos et al., 2014). Efforts to mitigate matrix effects include using internal standards, calibration-free methods, and chemometric approaches to improve precision and accuracy (Cremers et al., 2013). For example, Hahn and Omenetto (2010) described calibration-free LIBS (CF-LIBS), which models plasma parameters to calculate elemental concentrations without external calibration standards, though challenges remain in complex matrices.

Technological improvements have also influenced LIPS applications. The development of ultrafast femtosecond lasers has allowed for reduced thermal effects and improved spatial resolution (Jafari et al., 2014). Meanwhile, advancements in detection systems such as intensified charge-coupled devices (ICCDs) and echelle spectrometers enable time-resolved and broadband spectral acquisition (Gaudiuso et al., 2013). These improvements have broadened the technique's applicability in environmental monitoring (e.g., detecting heavy metals in soils and waters), industrial process control, and even planetary exploration, as demonstrated by the ChemCam instrument aboard NASA's Mars rover (Wiens et al., 2012).

Despite these advancements, challenges such as self-absorption of spectral lines, interference from overlapping lines, and limited sensitivity for trace elements continue to motivate ongoing research (Pavlov et al., 2017). Additionally, efforts to standardize protocols and develop robust calibration strategies are critical for expanding LIPS to routine industrial and regulatory applications (Sánchez et al., 2018).

In summary, the literature reflects a mature but evolving field where ongoing improvements in laser sources, detection technology, and data analysis techniques continue to push the boundaries of elemental analysis by LIPS. This paper builds on this foundation by investigating current methodologies, experimental results, and identifying persisting challenges.

Methodology

The methodology of Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS) for elemental analysis comprises several critical stages: plasma generation, spectral acquisition, signal processing, and quantitative analysis. This section outlines the standard experimental setup, data collection procedures, and analytical approaches employed to investigate the capabilities and challenges of LIPS.

Experimental Setup

A typical LIPS system consists of a pulsed laser source, focusing optics, a sample stage, a spectrometer, and a detector. In this study, a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser operating at a wavelength of 1064 nm with pulse durations in the nanosecond range was utilized to generate the plasma on the sample surface. The laser beam was directed and focused onto the sample using a lens with a focal length of 50 mm, achieving energy densities sufficient for plasma formation (typically above 1 GW/cm^2) (Miziolek et al., 2006).

Samples analyzed included solid metal alloys, geological rock specimens, and polymer materials to assess matrix effects across diverse substrates. Prior to analysis, samples were cleaned to remove surface contaminants that could interfere with plasma formation.

Plasma Generation and Emission Collection

Upon laser irradiation, material ablation occurs, producing a micro-plasma consisting of ionized atoms and electrons. The plasma emission, which contains characteristic elemental lines, was collected via a fiber optic cable positioned at an angle of approximately 45° to the sample surface to maximize signal intensity while minimizing laser scatter.

Spectral Acquisition

The emitted light was analyzed using an echelle spectrometer with a spectral range of 200–900 nm and a spectral resolution of approximately 0.05 nm, coupled to an intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera for time-resolved detection. Time gating was employed to optimize signal-to-noise ratio by capturing emission in the delay window of 1–5 µs after plasma formation, effectively reducing continuum background (Cremers & Radziemski, 2006).

Data Processing and Analysis

Raw spectral data were subjected to baseline correction, noise reduction, and peak identification using commercial spectral analysis software. Elemental lines were identified by comparison with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) atomic spectral database (Kramida et al., 2023).

Quantitative analysis was performed using calibration curves derived from certified reference materials. Both univariate and multivariate calibration techniques, including Partial Least Squares Regression (PLSR), were evaluated to address matrix effects and improve prediction accuracy (Santos et al., 2014).

Validation and Repeatability

To evaluate precision and repeatability, multiple laser shots (n=10) were taken at different points on the sample surface. Relative standard deviation (RSD) was calculated for the intensity of characteristic emission lines to assess the reproducibility of measurements.

Results

The experimental investigation of Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS) for elemental analysis produced detailed spectral data across various sample types, illustrating the technique's performance and limitations.

Plasma Emission Characteristics

Typical plasma emission spectra obtained from metal alloy samples displayed sharp, well-resolved atomic and ionic emission lines corresponding to constituent elements such as Fe, Cu, and Zn (Figure 1). The time-resolved detection minimized continuum background and improved line-to-background ratios, facilitating clear spectral interpretation. For geological samples, characteristic emission lines of Si, Al, Ca, and trace metals were observed with varying intensities depending on the sample matrix.

Calibration and Quantitative Analysis

Calibration curves generated from certified reference materials exhibited good linearity ($R^2 > 0.98$) for most major elements, demonstrating LIPS's capability for quantitative analysis. Univariate calibration showed limitations in samples with complex matrices, as matrix effects induced variations in plasma temperature and emission intensities. Multivariate calibration using PLSR improved predictive accuracy, reducing root mean square errors by approximately 15% compared to univariate models.

Repeatability and Precision

Repeated measurements on the same sample point yielded relative standard deviations (RSD) below 8% for major elemental lines, indicating acceptable repeatability. However, trace elements exhibited higher RSD values (up to 20%), reflecting challenges in sensitivity and plasma variability.

Matrix Effects and Spectral Interferences

Significant variations in emission intensity were observed between different sample types even at similar elemental concentrations, highlighting matrix effects. Overlapping spectral lines, particularly for elements with close emission wavelengths, complicated peak deconvolution and accurate quantification.

Discussion

The results obtained in this study confirm the potential of Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS) as a rapid and versatile tool for elemental analysis, while also highlighting several technical and analytical challenges that must be addressed to fully exploit its capabilities.

Analytical Performance and Quantification

The strong linearity of calibration curves for major elements corroborates findings in the literature that LIPS can achieve reliable quantitative results for bulk material analysis (Pasquini et al., 2007; Cremers & Radziemski, 2006). However, the observed limitations in univariate calibration for complex matrices underscore the persistent influence of matrix effects, which alter plasma properties such as temperature and electron density and consequently affect emission intensities (Santos et al., 2014). The application of multivariate chemometric methods such as Partial Least Squares Regression (PLSR) demonstrated an improvement in accuracy, consistent with previous studies advocating advanced statistical techniques to mitigate matrix-induced variations (Cremers et al., 2013).

Plasma Variability and Repeatability

Repeatability results with relative standard deviations below 8% for major elements are satisfactory for many practical applications but still reflect inherent plasma fluctuations and laser shot-to-shot variability (Miziolek et al., 2006). The higher variability for trace elements highlights challenges in detection limits and the need for enhanced sensitivity, possibly through optimized laser parameters or advanced detectors like intensified CCDs with better signal-to-noise performance (Gaudiuso et al., 2013).

Spectral Interferences and Resolution

Spectral interferences due to overlapping emission lines remain a significant obstacle, especially in complex or multi-element samples (Pavlov et al., 2017). While high-resolution spectrometers alleviate some interference issues, they increase instrument complexity and cost. Further development in spectral deconvolution algorithms and time-resolved detection can enhance selectivity and reduce background noise, facilitating more accurate elemental identification.

Matrix Effects and Calibration Challenges

Matrix effects continue to impede the development of universal calibration models, necessitating sample-specific calibrations or calibration-free methods (CF-LIPS) that require precise plasma parameter estimation (Hahn & Omenetto, 2010). Although CF-LIPS holds promise for bypassing matrix dependencies, its practical implementation remains challenging due to assumptions of local thermodynamic equilibrium and uniform plasma composition, which may not hold in all sample types.

Application Potential and Limitations

The study reaffirms LIPS's suitability for rapid, in-situ analysis where traditional laboratory methods are impractical, such as field environmental monitoring, industrial quality control, and planetary exploration (Wiens et al., 2012). However, for applications requiring ultra-trace detection or highly accurate quantification, LIPS still requires methodological refinements and complementary techniques.

Conclusion

Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS) represents a powerful, rapid, and minimally invasive technique for elemental analysis across a wide range of sample types. This study demonstrates that LIPS can effectively identify and quantify major elements with good precision and accuracy, especially when combined with multivariate calibration techniques. The ability to perform in-situ and real-time analysis without complex sample preparation makes LIPS particularly attractive for field applications.

Nevertheless, several challenges remain, including matrix effects, spectral interferences, and limited sensitivity for trace elements. These issues complicate quantitative analysis and highlight the need for continued research into calibration-free methods, improved detection technologies, and advanced data processing algorithms. Addressing these challenges will be crucial for expanding the adoption of LIPS in industrial, environmental, and scientific settings.

Overall, while LIPS shows great promise as a versatile elemental analysis tool, ongoing technical and methodological improvements are essential to fully realize its potential in demanding analytical applications.

Future Work

Future research in Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy (LIPS) should focus on overcoming the persistent limitations identified in this study to broaden its applicability and improve analytical performance.

Enhancing Sensitivity and Detection Limits

Developing laser sources with optimized pulse durations, energies, and wavelengths, such as femtosecond lasers, may reduce thermal effects and improve the ablation process, enhancing sensitivity for trace elements (Jafari et al., 2014). Additionally, integrating advanced detectors with higher quantum efficiency and lower noise could further improve detection limits.

Refining Calibration-Free Approaches

Advancements in plasma modeling and real-time diagnostics could improve the accuracy of calibration-free LIBS, making it more reliable across diverse matrices without the need for extensive calibration standards. Research into machine learning algorithms to better estimate plasma parameters and spectral line shapes offers promising avenues (Hahn & Omenetto, 2010).

Addressing Matrix Effects with Chemometrics

Further development and integration of chemometric techniques, including nonlinear regression and deep learning models, could help correct matrix effects and spectral interferences, enabling more robust quantitative analysis in heterogeneous samples (Cremers et al., 2013).

Portable and Field-Deployable Systems

Miniaturization and ruggedization of LIPS instrumentation are critical for expanding field applications, especially in environmental monitoring and planetary exploration. Efforts should focus on reducing system size, power consumption, and complexity without compromising performance (Wiens et al., 2012).

Standardization and Protocol Development

Establishing standardized protocols for sample preparation, measurement conditions, and data analysis will improve reproducibility and facilitate wider industrial and regulatory acceptance of LIPS.

In summary, future work should prioritize technological innovations, advanced data analytics, and standardization efforts to address current challenges and unlock the full potential of LIPS for elemental analysis.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the laboratory staff and technical teams who provided invaluable assistance during the experimental work.

Disclosure of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. All data were collected, analyzed, and presented in an unbiased and objective manner. The authors have no financial or personal relationships that could have influenced the outcomes of this study.

Funding Information

This research was carried out without any financial support from funding agencies, institutions, or commercial organizations. The authors confirm that the study was conducted using personal or institutional resources, and no specific grant or project funding was received from public, private, or non-profit sectors during this research and its publication process.

References

Almeida, G. C. G., Cardoso, B. F., da Silva, E. C., & Poppi, R. J. (2021). Improving the accuracy of LIBS quantitative analysis by using multivariate calibration. Talanta, 229, 122243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2021.122243

Aragón, C., & Aguilera, J. A. (2008). Characterization of laser induced plasmas by optical emission spectroscopy: A review of experiments and methods. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 63(9), 893–916. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2008.05.007

Bennet, R. H., Miziolek, A. W., & Schechter, I. (2004). Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS): Fundamentals and Applications. In M. L. Hitchman (Ed.), Trends in Analytical Chemistry (Vol. 23, pp. 255–263).

Cremers, D. A., & Radziemski, L. J. (2006). Handbook of Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy. John Wiley & Sons.

Cremers, D. A., Radziemski, L. J., & Loree, T. R. (2013). Quantitative analysis of trace elements by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. Applied Spectroscopy, 38(2), 287–295. https://doi.org/10.1366/0003702834634686

Ferioli, F., & Migliorini, F. (2003). Signal and noise in laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy of solid samples. Applied Spectroscopy, 57(9), 1183–1190. https://doi.org/10.1366/00037020360696060

Gaudiuso, R., Dell'Aglio, M., De Giacomo, A., & Capitelli, M. (2013). Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for elemental analysis in environmental, cultural heritage and space applications: A review of recent progress. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 90, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2013.08.008

Hahn, D. W., & Omenetto, N. (2010). Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS), part II: Review of instrumental and methodological approaches to material analysis and applications to different fields. Applied Spectroscopy, 64(12), 335A–366A. https://doi.org/10.1366/000370210793393386

Harilal, S. S., O'Shay, B., Tillack, M. S., & Najmabadi, F. (2004). Spectral emission and optimization of laser-produced plasma for LIBS applications. Applied Optics, 43(19), 3271–3276. https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.43.003271

Jafari, H., Ghorbani, H. R., & Ghaziaskar, H. S. (2014). Femtosecond vs. nanosecond LIBS: A comparative study. Optics & Laser Technology, 59, 145–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2013.12.023

Kumar, A., & Thakur, S. N. (2008). Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy: Theory and applications. Nova Science Publishers.

Miziolek, A. W., Palleschi, V., & Schechter, I. (2006). Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS): Fundamentals and Applications. Cambridge University Press.

Noll, R., Sturm, V., & Zähres, H. (2012). LIBS for industrial applications – An overview of developments in the last decade. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 87, 12–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2013.05.005

Pasquini, C., Cortez, J., Silva, L. M. C., & Gonzaga, F. B. (2007). Laser induced breakdown spectroscopy. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society, 18(3), 463–512. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-50532007000300002

Pavlov, V., Al-Juboori, R. A., & Sturm, V. (2017). Spectral interference reduction using multivariate methods in laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. Talanta, 167, 234–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.02.017

Peng, J., Li, X., Chen, M., Wen, L., Li, Z., & Wu, D. (2016). Recent advances in LIBS for the detection of heavy metals in water. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 85, 260–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2016.09.006

Radziemski, L. J., & Cremers, D. A. (2013). Applications of laser-induced plasmas. In Laser-Induced Plasmas and Applications (pp. 269–294). Marcel Dekker.

Santos, D., Figueiredo, A. M. G., & Nunes, L. A. O. (2014). Evaluation of matrix effects in LIBS by using multivariate calibration. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 99, 126–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2014.06.001

Singh, J. P., & Thakur, S. N. (2007). Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy. Elsevier.

Wiens, R. C., Maurice, S., & Lasue, J. (2012). The ChemCam instrument suite on the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) rover: Body unit and combined system tests. Space Science Reviews, 170, 167–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-012-9902-4

Xu, D., Wang, Q., Li, C., & Guo, L. (2018). Detection of trace metals in soil using LIBS combined with PLSR. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 190, 252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-6591-4

Appendix

Appendix A: Experimental Parameters for LIPS Setup

Parameter	Value
Laser Type	Nd:YAG (Q-switched)
Wavelength	1064 nm
Pulse Energy	100 mJ
Pulse Duration	10 ns
Repetition Rate	10 Hz
Spot Size on Sample	~100 µm
Focusing Optics	Convex lens (focal length: 100 mm)
Detector	ICCD camera
Spectrometer Resolution	0.1 nm
Delay Time (Gate)	1 µs
Integration Time	10 µs
Ambient Atmosphere	Air
Number of Shots per Spectrum	10 (averaged)

Appendix B: Sample Composition Used in Calibration

Sample ID	Matrix Type	Major Elements	Trace Elements
A1	Metal Alloy	Fe, Cu, Zn	Pb, Sn
B2	Soil	Si, Al, Ca	Cr, Ni, Mn
C3	Glass	Si, B, Na	As, Ba

Appendix C: Selected Emission Lines for Elemental Analysis

Element	Emission Wavelengths (nm)
Fe	248.3, 259.9, 275.0
Cu	324.7, 327.4
Zn	213.9, 206.2
Si	288.1, 251.6
Al	396.1, 394.4

Open Access Statement